

### **Scrutiny review proposal**

#### 1 What is the review?

Burgess Park – how it should look and be used to maximum benefit of the community in future, and how this can be funded/supported.

## What outcomes could realistically be achieved? Which agency does the review seek to influence?

To identify what could be done in the short, medium and long term to ensure that Burgess Park is maintained and improved, where appropriate, to a good standard (including safety) and where more use by the public is encouraged. To influence the Executive, the council's parks and open spaces officers and other agencies, such as Groundwork.

### When should the review be carried out/completed?

i.e. does the review need to take place before/after a certain time? November/December 2008

# What format would suit this review? (eg full investigation, q&a with executive member/partners, public meeting, one-off session)

To take the views of local councillors, residents' groups and user groups, plus relevant Exec members, council officers and other agencies. Investigating any suggested schemes, from the point of view of resources and realistic expectations

## What are some of the key issues that you would like the review to look at?

Whether current main and ancillary uses are appropriate and whether additional activity would be welcomed by users. Whether planning policy is sufficiently protective.

## Who would you like to receive evidence and advice from during the review?

See 4 above

## 7 Any suggestions for background information? Are you aware of any best practice on this topic?

The Southwark Plan, the London Plan, the Southwark Alliance document – "Southwark 2016".

## What approaches could be useful for gathering evidence? What can be done outside committee meetings?

e.g. verbal or written submissions, site visits, mystery-shopping, service observation, meeting with stakeholders, survey, consultation event Verbal and written submissions, site visits, meetings with stakeholders